

# COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT Planning Division

## **Draft Mitigated Negative Declaration**

WARD: 3

1. **Case Number:** P12-0442 (GPA), P12-0443 (RZ), P12-0444 (DR) & P12-0761 (CUP)

2. **Project Title:** Victoria Village Shopping Center Redevelopment – Stater Bros & CVS

3. **Hearing Date:** April 18, 2013

4. **Lead Agency:** City of Riverside

Community Development Department

Planning Division

3900 Main Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Riverside, CA 92522

5. **Contact Person:** Yvette Sennewald, Senior Planner

**Phone Number:** (951) 826-5168

6. **Project Location:** 6880-6890 Lincoln Avenue & 2827-2861 Mary Street

7. Project Applicant/Project Sponsor's Name and Address:

Scott Limbacher Dan Schultz 909-733-5277 949-770-5752

Stater Bros. MileStone Management 301 S. Tippecanoe Avenue 1422 S Allec St. Suite A San Bernardino, CA 92408 Anaheim, CA 92805

- 8. **General Plan Designation:** C Commercial and P Park
- 9. **Zoning:** CR NC Commercial Retail Neighborhood Center and R-1-13000 Single Family Residential
- 10. **Description of Project:** (Describe the whole action involved, including but not limited to later phases of the project, and any secondary, support, or off-site features necessary for its implementation. Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

The proposed project includes a consideration of a General Plan Amendment to amend the Land Use Designation of an approximately 37,230 square foot portion of the project area currently developed as a public park from P - Park to C - Commercial, and Rezone the same area from R-1-13,000 - Single Family Residential to CR-NC - Commercial Retail - Neighborhood Center. The project also includes the Design Review of plot plan and building elevations for a proposed 44,636 square foot grocery store and a 11,485 square foot CVS Pharmacy and a 31,447 square foot pad for future commercial development. A Conditional Use Permit has been requested for a drive-thru pharmacy and off-sale alcohol sales (Type 21 - general alcohol) for the proposed CVS store.

The above noted entitlements are required in order to facilitate the redevelopment of the Victoria Village neighborhood commercial center that currently includes an existing approximately 24,307

square foot Stater Bros. grocery store, a 16,063 square foot CVS and 10,574 square feet of additional retail space on approximately 6.3 acres, situated on the northeast corner of Mary Street and Lincoln Avenue.

The proposed Victoria Village Commercial Center Development Project requires encroaching onto parkland including approximately 37,230 square feet of parkland that will be utilized to expand the Stater Bros. grocery store and loading dock. The Project also includes the necessary Washington Park improvements and expansion to facility the taking of parkland to build the market and dock.

The Park components are as follows:

- a) Expand the parking lot by eight additional parking spaces for a total of 27 and installing swing gates at both entries;
- b) Adding a decomposed granite walking/jogging path around the Park, as well as bikeway and pedestrian pathway connections to Victoria Avenue along Mary Street;
- c) Re-landscaping the streetscape area along Mary Street to improve visibility into the park; and
- d) Expand Washington Park, approximately 53,988 SF, toward Victoria Avenue, including approximately one acre of open turf area, a 6 foot high fence with stone pilasters, Park sign, new landscaping along Victoria Avenue, trail fencing, benches, bike racks, drinking fountain, various landscape and irrigation improvements and a butterfly garden

In total, the Park would realize a net increase of approximately 0.83 acres of developed parkland.

### 11. Surrounding land uses and setting: Briefly describe the project's surroundings:

	<b>Existing Land Use</b>	<b>General Plan Designation</b>	<b>Zoning Designation</b>
Project Site	Neighborhood Commercial Center and Public Park	C – Commercial and P - Park	CR-NC – Commercial Retail – Neighborhood Center and R-1-13000 – Single Family Residential
North	Single Family Residential	MDR – Medium Density Residential	R-1-7000 & R-1- 8500 – Single Family Residential
East	Single Family Residential, Washington Elementary School & Pathways Church	MDR – Medium Density Residential & PF – Public Facilities	R-1-7000 & R-1- 13000 – Single Family Residential
South	Public Park & Single Family Residential	P – Park, LDR – Low Density Residential & MDR – Medium Density Residential	R-1-13000 & R-1- 8500 – Single Family Residential
West	Single Family Residential	MDR – Medium Density Residential	R-1-8500 & R-1- 7000 – Single Family Residential

# 12. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financial approval, or participation agreement.):

- a. Native American Heritage Commission Tribal Consultation
- b. Airport Land Use Committee
- c. Parks & Recreation Commission

### 13. Other Environmental Reviews Incorporated by Reference in this Review:

- a. General Plan 2025
- b. GP 2025 FPEIR

#### 14. Acronyms

AICUZ - Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study

AQMP - Air Quality Management Plan AUSD - Alvord Unified School District

CEQA - California Environmental Quality Act

CMP - Congestion Management Plan
EIR - Environmental Impact Report
EMWD - Eastern Municipal Water District
EOP - Emergency Operations Plan

FEMA - Federal Emergency Management Agency

FPEIR - GP 2025 Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Report

GIS - Geographic Information System

GhG - Green House Gas GP 2025 - General Plan 2025 IS - Initial Study

LHMP - Local Hazard Mitigation Plan

MARB/MIP - March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port

MJPA-JLUS - March Joint Powers Authority - Joint Land Use Study

MSHCP - Multiple-Species Habitat Conservation Plan MVUSD - Moreno Valley Unified School District NCCP - Natural Communities Conservation Plan

OEM - Office of Emergency Services

OPR - Office of Planning & Research, State
PEIR - Program Environmental Impact Report

PW - Public Works, Riverside

RCALUC - Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission
RCALUCP - Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan

RCP - Regional Comprehensive Plan

RCTC - Riverside County Transportation Commission

RMC - Riverside Municipal Code RPD - Riverside Police Department RPU - Riverside Public Utilities

RTIP - Regional Transportation Improvement Plan

RTP - Regional Transportation Plan RUSD - Riverside Unified School District

SCAG - Southern California Association of Governments SCAQMD - South Coast Air Quality Management District

SCH - State Clearinghouse

SKR-HCP - Stephens' Kangaroo Rat - Habitat Conservation Plan

SWPPP - Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan

USGS - United States Geologic Survey
WMWD - Western Municipal Water District
WQMP - Water Quality Management Plan

## ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

impact that is a "Potentially Significan	elow would be potentially affected but Impact" as indicated by the checklist		st one			
Aesthetics	Agriculture & Forest Resources	Air Quality				
Biological Resources	Biological Resources					
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Hazards & Hazardous Materials	Hydrology/Water Quality				
Land Use/Planning	Mineral Resources	Noise				
Population/Housing	Public Service	Recreation				
Transportation/Traffic	Utilities/Service Systems	Mandatory Findings of Significance				
<b>DETERMINATION:</b> (To be completed)						
On the basis of this initial evaluation recommended that:	n which reflects the independent judg	gment of the City of Riverside	e, it is			
The City of Riverside finds that the proposand a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will	1 0	ant effect on the environment,				
there will not be a significant effect in th	the proposed project could have a signific is case because revisions in the project ha D NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be pro-	we been made by or agreed to	$\boxtimes$			
The City of Riverside finds that the prop ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT	osed project MAY have a significant effe is required.	ct on the environment, and an				
The City of Riverside finds that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.						
The City of Riverside finds that although because all potentially significant effects DECLARATION pursuant to applicable s EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, is proposed project, nothing further is required.	s (a) have been analyzed adequately in a standards, and (b) have been avoided or manufacturing revisions or mitigation measure	n earlier EIR or NEGATIVE itigated pursuant to that earlier				
Signature		Date				
Printed Name & Title		For <u>City of Riverside</u>				



# COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT Planning Division

City of Arts & Innovation

# Environmental Initial Study

#### **EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:**

- A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
  - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
  - b. **Impacts Adequately Addressed.** Identify which effects from the above checklist were with in the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
  - c. **Mitigation Measures.** For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measure which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.

- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) The explanation of each issue should identify:
  - a. the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
  - b. the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
		Incorporated		
1. AESTHETICS. Would the project:				
a. Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				
1a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 – Figure 5.1-1 – Scenic and Special Boulevards and Parkwa Table 5.1-B – Scenic Parkways)				
<b>Less Than Significant Impact.</b> The proposed project consists of currently is developed with a 24,307 square foot Stater Bros. groces square feet of additional retail buildings and the redevelopment of the Stater Bros. grocery store, a 11,465 square foot CVS store with a future retail development. The project is in an urbanized area complare no scenic vistas and where direct, indirect and cumulative impacts	ery store, a 16 he site with a drive-thru pha letely surround	5,063 square f larger approx rmacy and a 3 ded by existing	oot CVS store imately 44,650 31,447 square g development	e and 10,574 6 square foot foot pad for t where there
b. Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				
<ul> <li>1b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 – Figure 5.1-1 – Scenic and Special Boulevards, Parkways, 5.1-B – Scenic Parkways, the City's Urban Forest Tree Policy 19 – Article V – Chapter 19.100 – Residential Zones - RC Z</li> <li>Less Than Significant Impact. The General Plan 2025 designates in order to protect scenic resources and enhance the visual charapproximately 595 feet from Victoria Avenue which is designated as and Community Mobility Element of the General Plan 2025. The reimpact on Victoria Avenue as it is situated almost 600 feet away fi project site and Washington Park will assist in screening the commentation.</li> </ul>	Table 5.1-A plicy Manual, Zone) several roadwacter of River a Scenic and development of com Victoria Acial center from	- Scenic and Title 20 - Cu vays as Scenic rside. The pr Special Boule of the commer Avenue. Land m Victoria Av	Special Bould Itural Resource Boulevards a roposed proje vard within the cial center will dscaping in an enue.	evards, Table ces and, Title nd Parkways ct is located e Circulation l not have an d around the
approximately 160 feet to the southeast, towards and adjacent Special/Scenic Boulevard and is listed on the National Register of Hi are required to obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness to ensure consistent with the Citywide Design Guidelines as well as the Seci incorporating two rows of citrus trees along Victoria Avenue and streetscape. In addition, a decorative wall with vines and tree plantic and Stater Bros. market building. The General Plan 2025 also including impacts on visual resources and this project will comply with these less than significant impact on the scenic resources of the area direct	to, Victoria istoric Places, that the aes retary of the I landscape anng buffer has les policies into policies. The	Avenue. Sir all improvement thetic qualitie interior Standard planting cobeen included tended to miniperefore, the pr	ents along Vic s of Victoria ards. The pro- impatible with to screen the mize aesthetic oposed projec	Avenue is a toria Avenue Avenue are ject includes a the historic loading dock impacts and
c. Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact		
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	Impuct		
1c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2026, Guidelines)	025 FPEIR, 2	Zoning Code,	Citywide Des	ign and Sign		
<b>Less Than Significant Impact.</b> The proposed redevelopment of the with the recommended Conditions of Approval, is consistent with establishment.						
The expansion of the public park will include some improvements Avenue is listed on the National Register of Historic Places and has a required for the proposed improvements along Victoria Avenue and ensure that the proposed improvements are consistent with the explanting of citrus trees and appropriate landscaping. Due to all these visual character and quality of the area are <b>less than significant imp</b>	cultural signifi I is being proc sting landscap e factors, direc	cance, a Certificessed under I be along Victor	ficate of Appro Planning Case oria Avenue, i	opriateness is P13-0189 to including the		
d. Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?						
1d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 202 Area, Title 19 – Article VIII – Chapter 19.556 – Lighting, (				Lighting		
<b>No Impact.</b> The site is not within the Mount Palomar Lighting Area will adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area as the comm commercial development. The redevelopment of the site will includ of the existing situation. No impact directly, indirectly or cumulati adversely affect day or nighttime views.	ercial site alre e exterior ligh	eady includes sting on and ar	site lighting co cound the site t	onsistent with that is typical		
2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES:						
In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information complied by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and the forest carbon measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:  a. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of						
Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?						
2a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-2 – Agricultural Suitability & General Plan 2025 FPEIR – Appendix I – Designated Farmland Table)						
<b>No Impact.</b> The Project is located within an urbanized area. A regeneral Plan 2025 reveals that the project site is not designated a classified as, Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of S pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the will have <b>no impact</b> directly, indirectly or cumulatively to agriculture.	s, and is not tatewide Impo California Re	adjacent to or ortance, as she	r in proximity own on the m	to any land aps prepared		

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	Puec
		Incorporated		
b. Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				$\boxtimes$
2b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-3 - W	illiamson Ac	t Preserves. G	eneral Plan 2	025 FPEIR –
Figure 5.2-4 – Proposed Zones Permitting Agricultural Use			oneral I tan 2	,23 1 1 2.IK
1 19 m 2 c 1 1 1 1 1 p 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	,	-/		
<b>No Impact.</b> The site is within a built environment and no William	nson Act con	tracts are impl	lemented on the	he site. The
proposed project will not conflict with existing zoning for agricult				
Therefore, no impacts will occur from this project directly, indirectly	or cumulativ	ely.		
c. Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of,				$\bowtie$
forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section				
12220(g)) timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code				
section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production				
(as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?				
2c. Response: (Source: GIS Map – Forest Data)				
<b>No Impact.</b> The City of Riverside has no forest land that can supp	ort 10-nercer	nt native tree o	over nor does	it have any
timberland. Therefore, <b>no impacts</b> will occur from this project direct				o it have any
d. Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				$\boxtimes$
2d. Response: (Source: GIS Map – Forest Data)				
No Impact. The City of Riverside has no forest land that can supp			cover nor does	it have any
timberland, therefore <b>no impacts</b> will occur from this project directly	, indirectly or	cumulatively.		
e. Involve other changes in the existing environment which,				
due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of				$\boxtimes$
Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest	Ш			
land to non-forest use?				
2e. Response: (Source: General Plan – Figure OS-2 – Agricu	ltural Suitabi	ility, Figure O	S-3 – William	son Act
Preserves, Title 19 – Article V – Chapter 19.100 – Resident	ial Zones – R	C Zone and R	A-5 Zone and	GIS Map –
Forest Data)				-
No Impact. The project is located in an urbanized area of the Ci	ty in an area	that is develo	ped with con	nmercial and
residential uses as well as a public park. The proposal includ-				
neighborhood commercial center and expansion of the adjacent p				
agricultural resources or operations. The project will not result in the				
uses. In addition, there are no agricultural resources or operations, in				
The City of Riverside has no forest land that can support 10-percent				
from this project directly, indirectly or cumulatively to conversion				
forest land.				
3. AIR QUALITY.				
Where available, the significance criteria established by the				
applicable air quality management or air pollution control				
district may be relied upon to make the following				
determinations. Would the project:				
<b>a.</b> Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable				
air quality plan?				
3a. Response: (Source: South Coast Air Quality Manager	nent District	's 2007 Air <b>Q</b>	Quality Mana	gement Plan
(AQMP))				
Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated. The	e proposed pro	oject involves	a General Plar	Amendment
to change the land use designation of an approximately 0.83-acre por	tion of the site	from P – Pub	lic Park to C -	Commercial.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	Impact	
This action will result in a slight increase in emissions as a result of the in commercial land use over that analyzed under the General Plan 20 and not result in conflicts with or obstruct implementation of the appenissions are further reduced, the General Plan 2025 Program requiproject, MM AIR 1 - 2. Further, the project will not conflict with or Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP) and will be subject to mitiging impacts directly, indirectly and cumulatively will be <b>less than signific</b>	25 is limited to plicable air quired mitigation obstruct implication measures	to 0.83 acres a uality plan. Fin measures the ementation of es (MM AIR 1	nd thus will be urther, to ensu at have been a South Coast I - 2) as outlin	e insignificant are short term applied to this District's 2007	
MM Air 1: To reduce diesel emissions associated with temporary electricity to the site to eliminate the need for of that electrical hook ups at construction sites are not cost effective.	diesel-powered ective or feasib	d electric gene ble.	erators, or pro	vide evidence	
MM Air 2: To reduce construction related particulate ma measures shall be required:	itter air qualit	y impacts of	City Projects	the following	
The generation of dust shall be controlled as require	ed by the AQN	MD;			
2. Grading activities shall cease during periods of high	n winds (great	er than 25 mpl	1);		
3. Trucks hauling soil, dirt or other emissive materia protective cover as determined by the City Enginee		their loads co	overed with a	tarp or other	
4. The contractor shall prepare and maintain a traffic control plan, prepared, stamped and signed by either a licensed Traffic Engineer or a Civil Engineer. The preparation of the plan shall be in accordance with Chapter 5 of the latest edition of the Caltrans Traffic Manual and the State Standard Specifications. The plan shall be submitted for approval, by the engineer, at the preconstruction meeting. Work shall not commence without an approved traffic control plan.					
b. Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?					

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	puet
		Incorporated		

<sup>3</sup>b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Table 5.3-B SCAQMD CEQA Regional Significance Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District's 2007 AQMP, CalEEMod, EMFAC 2007 Model)

**Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation**. An Air Quality Model was conducted using CalEEMod. The results of the air quality model showed that the proposed Project would generate emissions far lower than the SCAQMD thresholds for significance for air quality emissions and it was determined to be **less than significant** directly, indirectly and cumulatively to ambient air quality and will not contribute to an existing air quality violation.

CalEEMod MODEL RESULTS SHORT-TERM IMPACTS						
A ativity			Daily Em	issions (lbs./d	ay)	
Activity	ROG	NO <sub>X</sub>	со	SO <sub>2</sub>	PM-10	PM-2.5
SCAQMD Daily Thresholds Construction	75	100	550	150	150	55
Daily Project - Emissions Construction	4.31	7.90	5.87	0.02	1.24	0.53
Exceeds Y/N Threshold?	N	N	N	N	N	N

CalEEMod MODEL RESULTS  LONG-TERM IMPACTS						
A otivity			Daily Em	issions (lbs./d	ay)	
Activity	ROG	NO <sub>X</sub>	СО	SO <sub>2</sub>	PM-10	PM-2.5
SCAQMD Daily Thresholds Operation	55	55	550	150	150	55
Daily Project - Emissions Operational	4.92	8.38	34.68	0.04	4.63	0.46
Exceeds Y/N Threshold?	N	N	N	N	N	N

To ensure short term emissions are further reduced the General Plan 2025 Program required mitigation measures that have been applied to this project, MM AIR 1-2. Therefore, because the project will not violate any ambient air quality standard, contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation, and will be subject to further mitigation measures (MM AIR 1 - 2) as outlined below, the impacts directly, indirectly and cumulatively will be **less than significant with mitigation incorporated.** 

**MM** Air 1: To reduce diesel emissions associated with construction, construction contractors shall provide temporary electricity to the site to eliminate the need for diesel-powered electric generators, or provide evidence that electrical hook ups at construction sites are not cost effective or feasible.

**MM** Air 2: To reduce construction related particulate matter air quality impacts of City Projects the following measures shall be required:

,	AND SUPPORTING ATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1.	The generation of dust shall be controlled as require	ed by the AQM	ſЮ;		
2.	Grading activities shall cease during periods of high	winds (greate	er than 25 mph	);	
3.	Trucks hauling soil, dirt or other emissive materia protective cover as determined by the City Enginee		their loads co	overed with a	tarp or other
4. The contractor shall prepare and maintain a traffic control plan, prepared, stamped and signed by either a licensed Traffic Engineer or a Civil Engineer. The preparation of the plan shall be in accordance with Chapter 5 of the latest edition of the Caltrans Traffic Manual and the State Standard Specifications. The plan shall be submitted for approval, by the engineer, at the preconstruction meeting. Work shall not commence without an approved traffic control plan.					
criteria attainm quality	in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any pollutant for which the project region is non- ent under an applicable federal or state ambient air standard (including releasing emissions which quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?				
	se: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Tab olds, South Coast Air Quality Management Distric odel, EMFAC 2007 Model)				
General Plan 20	<b>ificant Impact</b> . Per the GP 2025 FPEIR, AQMP the 25 are projected to result in significant levels of NO <sub>x</sub> long-term emissions are expected to decrease by 20	and ROG, bo	oth ozone preci	arsors, PM-10	, PM-2.5, and
	ne Basin within which the City is located is designat standards, and as a non-attainment area for ozone,				
The proposed Project involves a General Plan Amendment to change the land use designation of an approximate 0.83 acre portion of the site from P – Public Park to C - Commercial. This action will result in a slight increase in emissions as a result of the change in land use designation, a result of the change in land use designation; however the increase in commercial land use is limited to 0.83 acres and will be negligible.					
pollutants as a runder the Gener were not previous Plan 2025 FPEII	posed project is substantially consistent with the Geresult of the project were previously evaluated as pal Plan 2025 Program. As a result, the proposed project evaluated and for which a statement of overrida. Therefore, cumulative air quality emissions impact	art of the cur ject does not ling considera	nulative analy result in any rations was ado in significant.	sis of build o new significan	ut anticipated t impacts that
d. Expose concent	sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant rations?				

TOOTIEG (	A NID CLIDDODTING	Potentially	Less Than	Less Than	No	
,	AND SUPPORTING	Significant	Significant With	Significant	Impact	
INFORM	(ATION SOURCES):	Impact	Mitigation	Impact		
21 B	G G I DI AGAS EDEED TO	1 53 D GG	Incorporated	N. D. 1	G: :C:	
3d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Table 5.3-B SCAQMD CEQA Regional Significance Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District's 2007 Air Quality Management Plan, CalEEMod, EMFAC 2007 Model)						
CalEEMod comdetermined that operational impa	puter model analyzed short-term construction and lot the proposed project would not exceed SCAQMD acts. The project site contains a neighborhood shoppevelopment of the shopping center and the expansion	ong-term oper thresholds for ping center an	ational related or short-term	impacts of the construction a	ne project and and long-term	
portion of the site of the change in to the site is 0.8 sensitive receptor emissions are fur required mitigation sensitive receptor significant with	roject involves a General Plan Amendment to change from P – Public Park to C - Commercial. This action land use designation, however the increase will be resonant to a screen. In addition, the project site is adjacent to resonant to the reduced, and impacts to nearby sensitive recept on measures that have been applied to this project, Meptors to substantial pollutant concentrations directly mitigation incorporated with the following mitigation in the following mitigation in the following mitigation incorporated with the following mitigation in the following mit	on will result in egligible as the and in proximpacts from corors are minim MM AIR 1 - 2 ectly, indirection measures:	in a slight incr the increase in mity to existing instruction activities with the ized with the i. As a result, by and cumulant, construction	ease in emission commercial la la single familivities. To ensu General Plan 2 impacts relate latively will in contractors	ons as a result and use added ly residences, ure short term 2025 Program d to exposure be less than shall provide	
MM A	<ul><li>ctrical hook ups at construction sites are not cost effe</li><li>ir 2: To reduce construction related particulate mages shall be required:</li></ul>			City Projects	the following	
1.	The generation of dust shall be controlled as require	ed by the AQM	MD;			
2.	Grading activities shall cease during periods of high	winds (greate	er than 25 mpl	n);		
3.	Trucks hauling soil, dirt or other emissive materia protective cover as determined by the City Enginee		their loads co	overed with a	tarp or other	
4. The contractor shall prepare and maintain a traffic control plan, prepared, stamped and signed by either a licensed Traffic Engineer or a Civil Engineer. The preparation of the plan shall be in accordance with Chapter 5 of the latest edition of the Caltrans Traffic Manual and the State Standard Specifications. The plan shall be submitted for approval, by the engineer, at the preconstruction meeting. Work shall not commence without an approved traffic control plan.						
e. Create of peop	objectionable odors affecting a substantial number le?				$\boxtimes$	
3e. Respon	se: (Source:)					
is a neighborhous the expansion	ne project would not expose a substantial number of pool shopping center that includes a grocery store, phan of a public park and given the proposed use is a cobe generated. Therefore, <b>no impact</b> to creating of	armacy with a mmercial cent	drive-thru and ter, no amount	I future retail s of objectiona	space as well ble odors are	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	Impact
4. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a. Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
4a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – St Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHO Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic	CP Cores and Area Plans, I Plant Specie	l Linkages, Fi Figure 5.4-4 - es Survey Are	igure OS-8 – 1 MSHCP Crite a, Figure 5.4-	MSHCP Cell eria Cells and
Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHCl	_	-		
<b>No Impact.</b> The project site is located on a previously developed/i the MSHCP database and other appropriate databases identified a species, or suitable habitat for such species on site. Federal Species of California Species Animal or Plants on lists 1-4 of the California Speciet will have <b>no impact</b> directly, indirectly and cumulatively on sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, and policand Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.	no potential fof Concern, Ca Native Plant S habitat modifi	or candidate, alifornia Speci ociety (CNPS) cations, specie	sensitive or s es of Special ( ) Inventory. T es identified as	pecial status Concern, and herefore, the s a candidate,
b. Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
4b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – Sa Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHO Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHC - Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine And No Impact. The project is located on a previously developed/imp habitat or other sensitive natural community exists on site or within plave no impact on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural coor regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or cumulatively.	CP Cores and Area Plans, It Plant Specie P Burrowing Areas and Verroved site with proximity to the mmunity iden	Linkages, Figure 5.4-4 - es Survey Are Owl Survey Anal Pools) hin an urbanizate project site. tified in local	igure OS-8 – A MSHCP Crite a, Figure 5.4- crea, MSHCP zed area where Therefore, the or regional pla	MSHCP Cells and -7 - MSHCP Section 6.1.2  e no riparian e project will ans, policies,
c. Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				
4c. Response: (Source: City of Riverside GIS/CADME USGS	Quad Map Lo	ayer)		
<b>No Impact.</b> The project is located within an urbanized area where 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, ver to the project site. The project site does not contain any discernible or hydric soils and thus does not include USACOE jurisdictional discould have <b>no impact</b> to federally protected wetlands as defined by and cumulatively.	nal pool, coas drainage cour rainages or we	tal, etc.) exist ses, inundated etlands. There	on site or with areas, wetland efore, the prop	nin proximity d vegetation, posed project
d. Interfere substantially with the movement of any native				$\boxtimes$

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	Impact
established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				
4d. Response: (Source: MSHCP, General Plan 2025 – Figure	OS-7 – MSH	CP Cores and	Linkage)	
<b>No Impact.</b> The project is within an urbanized area and will not resor migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native reside native wildlife nursery sites. Therefore, the project will have <b>no</b> cumulatively.	nt or migrator	y wildlife corr	idors, or impe	de the use of
e. Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				
4e. Response: (Source: MSHCP, Title 16 Section 16.72.040 - Mitigation Fee, Title 16 Section 16.40.040 - Establishing Riverside Urban Forest Tree Policy Manual)				
<b>Less Than Significant Impact.</b> Implementation of the proposed Propolicies and regulations related to the protection of biological resourequired to comply with Riverside Municipal Code Section 16.72.04 16.40.040 establishing the Threatened and Endangered Species Fees.	rces and tree	preservation.	In addition, t	the project is
Any project within the City of Riverside's boundaries that proposes follow the Urban Forest Tree Policy Manual. The Manual documents removal of all trees in City rights-of-way. The specifications in the established by the International Society of Arboriculture, the Nation Standards Institute. Any future project will be in compliance with City right-of-way, and therefore, impacts will be less than significant.	s guidelines for e Manual are nal Arborists the Tree Police	or the planting, based on nation Association, a	pruning, presonal standards and the Ameri	ervation, and for tree care can National
f. Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				
4f. Response: (Source: MSHCP, General Plan 2025 – Figure and Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Stephens' K				Core Reserve
<b>No Impact.</b> The project site is located on a previously developed impact an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community C State habitat conservation plan directly, indirectly and cumulatively provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conregional, or State habitat conservation plan.	Conservation F v. Therefore,	Plan, or other a the project w	pproved local ill have <b>no in</b>	, regional, or <b>npact</b> on the
5. CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines?				
5a. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.5-A Histor and Appendix D, Title 20 of the Riverside Municipal Code by Wilkman Historical Services on February 7, 2012)				
<b>Less Than Significant Impact.</b> The proposed project involves the Section 15064.5 (a) of the CEOA Guidelines. Per the CEOA Guidelines.				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No		
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	Impact		
		Incorporated				
incorporated into the adjacent Washington Park site is designated City Landmark #8 as defined in Title 20 of the Riverside Municipal Code.						
The existing residential property situated on the northeast corner of located at 6893 Victoria Avenue, was previously developed with occupied by Charles Montagu Dammers. The house was demorally developed in the project of the short period between 19 scientist' left a legacy of hundreds of scientific illustrations, the list designation, and the authorship or co-authorship of at least 36 scient the project of "Charles Montagu Dammers: Riverside California's Services Final Report, dated February 14, 2012, of thoroughly document of the project of the consideration of renaming Washington Park in Museum and/or UC Riverside for educational opportunities related to the park; and preservation of a remnant of the Dammers citrus growand a butterfly garden have been incorporated into the park expansion.	n a single far lished in 201 28 and 1946, the sting of at least tific journal and Butterfly Man ments Damm Commander Commander	nily residence 1. Dammers the passion and st 19 insect specificles. The C " by Bill Wilders' life and se Charles Montage mmers; partner work; the creat	e constructed is a significated dedication of a significance in gu Dammers i erships with the construction of a butter	in 1925 and ant aspect of the "citizen "dammersi" prepared for an Historical a the field of including, but the Municipal ffly garden in		
Because there are proposed improvements included along Victoria Avenue, an Administrative Certificate of Appropriateness application is being reviewed pursuant to adopted City code (Title 20) to ensure the proposed changes are consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines and would not have a significant adverse environmental effect as defined by CEQA. Under the review of Planning Case P13-0189, staff has analyzed the impacts to Victoria Avenue. The project plans for the Certificate of Appropriateness include the incorporation of two rows of citrus trees along Victoria Avenue and landscape and planting compatible with the historic streetscape. In addition, a decorative wall with vines and a tree planting buffer has been included to screen the loading dock and Stater Bros. market building. All of these design elements incorporated into the project ensure a <b>less than significant impact</b> directly, indirectly and cumulatively.						
b. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines?						
5b. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.5-1 - Arc. Cultural Resources Sensitivity, Appendix D - Cultural R Survey prepared by Wilkman Historical Services on February	esources Stud					
Less Than Significant Impact. This project site is within an urbanized area that currently contains a neighborhood shopping center, a public park and vacant property previously developed with the Dammers' single family residence that was demolished in 2011. The project site has been previously graded. It is unlikely that archeological resources would be found within the project site as the property has been previously disturbed in conjunction with existing surrounding development and utility improvements in the area. With implementation of conditions requiring compliance with Health and Safety Code 7050.5, State CEQA Guidelines 15064.5(e) and Public Resources Code 5097.98 regarding unanticipated buried materials and human remains found during construction and/or grading activities that require a stoppage of all work until a qualified archaeologist/tribal member can evaluate the nature and significance of any unanticipated finds, impacts to these resources as a result of the Project are less than significant directly, indirectly, or cumulatively.						
c. Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?						
5c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Policy HP-1.3)				-		
<b>No Impact.</b> The project is located on a previously developed/improsuch as new development involving grading/ground disturbance, are of paleontological resources or site or unique geologic features. Indirectly on a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic	proposed that Therefore, the	t would create	a potential fo	r disturbance		
d. Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?						

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With	Impact	ппрасс	
,		Mitigation Incorporated			
5d. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.5-1 - Arc. Cultural Resources Sensitivity)	haeological S	ensitivity and	Figure 5.5-2	- Prehistoric	
Less Than Significant Impact. The project is located on a previous where it is highly unlikely that any grading/ground disturbance wo However, as noted in Section 5b above, with implementation of concode 7050.5, State CEQA Guidelines 15064.5(e) and Public Resmaterials and human remains found during construction and/or grad qualified archaeologist/tribal member can evaluate the nature and si any human remains, including those interred outside of formal ceme level directly, indirectly, or cumulatively.	uld create pot onditions required cources Code ing activities gnificance of	ential for distuiring complian 5097.98 regand that require a sany unanticipa	orbance of hur ace with Healt rding unantici stoppage of all ated finds, imp	nan remains. h and Safety pated buried work until a pacts to these	
6. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:					
a. Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:					
<ol> <li>Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.</li> </ol>					
<ul> <li>6i. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 – Regional Fault Zones &amp; General Plan 2025 FPEIR Appendix E – Geotechnical Report)</li> <li>No Impact. Seismic activity is to be expected in Southern California. In the City of Riverside, there are no Alquist-Priolo</li> </ul>					
zones. The project site does not contain any known fault lines and to Compliance with the California Building Code regulations will ensuit occur directly, indirectly and cumulatively.					
ii. Strong seismic ground shaking?				$\boxtimes$	
6ii. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Appen	dix E – Geote	echnical Repo	rt)		
No Impact. The San Jacinto Fault Zone located in the northeastern in the southern portion of the City's Sphere of Influence, have the would cause intense ground shaking. Because the proposed project impacts associated with strong seismic ground shaking will have no iii. Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	potential to out complies wiimpact direct	cause moderate th California lady, indirectly a	e to large eart Building Code and cumulative	hquakes that regulations, ly.	
6iii. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 – Regional Fault Zones, Figure PS-2 – Liquefaction Zones, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure PS-3 – Soils with High Shrink-Swell Potential, and Appendix E – Geotechnical Report)					
<b>No Impact.</b> The project area for the commercial shopping center is located in an area with low potential for liquefaction while a small portion of the area to be added into the park situated at the corner of Victoria Avenue and Mary Street is located in an area with moderate potential for liquefaction as depicted in the General Plan 2025 Liquefaction Zones Map – Figure PS-2. Compliance with the California Building Code regulations will ensure that impacts related to seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction would have <b>no impact</b> directly, indirectly and cumulatively.					
iv. Landslides?					
6iv Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPFIR Figur	056-1 Area	as Undorlain l	hy Steen Slone	Annandiv F	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With	Impact	Impact	
*		Mitigation Incorporated			
<ul> <li>Geotechnical Report, Title 18 – Subdivision Code,</li> <li>Prevention Plan)</li> </ul>	Title 17 – Gro	ading Code, a	and Storm Wa	ter Pollution	
<b>No Impact.</b> The project site and its surroundings have generally flat topography and are not located in an area prone to landslides per Figure 5.6-1 of the General Plan 2025 Program Final PEIR. Therefore, there will be <b>no impact</b> related to landslides directly, indirectly and cumulatively.					
b. Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?			$\boxtimes$		
6b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6-1 – Areas Underlain by Steep Slope, Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Table 5.6-B – Soil Types, Title 18 – Subdivision Code, Title 17 – Grading Code, and Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan)					
Less Than Significant Impact. Erosion and loss of topsoil could requirements call for the preparation and implementation of a establishing erosion and sediment controls for construction activit Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) regulations. In addevelopment activity must comply (Title 18), the Grading Code (T designed to minimize soil erosion. Compliance with State and Federasure that soil erosion or loss of topsoil will be less than significant	Storm Water ies. The project Idition, with the fitle 17) also regard requireme	er Pollution I ect must also ne erosion con requires the in nts as well as	Prevention Placemply with trol standards applementation with Titles 18	an (SWPPP) the National for which all of measures 3 and 17 will	
c. Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?					
6c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 – Regional Fault Zones, Figure PS-2 – Liquefaction Zones, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure PS-3 – Soils with High Shrink-Swell Potential, Figure 5.6-1 - Areas Underlain by Steep Slope, Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Table 5.6-B – Soil Types, and Appendix E – Geotechnical Report)					
<b>Less Than Significant Impact.</b> The general topography of the sub-existing codes and the policies contained in the General Plan 2 conditions are reduced to <b>less than significant impacts</b> level directly	025 help to 6	ensure that in	npacts related		
d. Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?					
6d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Table 5.6-B – Soil Types, Figure 5.6-5 – Soils with High Shrink-Swell Potential, Appendix E – Geotechnical Report, and California Building Code as adopted by the City of Riverside and set out in Title 16 of the Riverside Municipal Code)					
<b>No Impact.</b> The project is located on a site that does not have expansive soils and therefore there will be <b>no impact</b> directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
e. Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?					
6e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6	-4 – Soils, Tal	ble 5.6-B - So	il Types)		
No Impact. The proposed project will be served by sewer infrastructure. Therefore, the project will have no impact.					

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
7. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS. Would the project:					
a. Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?					
7a. Response: (Source: )					
Less Than Significant Impact. The proposed Project involves a General Plan Amendment to change the land use designation of an approximately 0.83 acre portion of the site from P – Public Park to C- Commercial. This action will result in a slight increase in emissions as a result of the change in land use designation; however, the increase in commercial land use is limited to 0.83 acres and thus will be negligible. The project will complement the City's General Plan policies and statewide Building Code requirements designed to reduce GhG emissions. Since the project will not result in a net increase in GhG emissions, it will not interfere with the State's goals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions to 1990 levels by the year 2020 as stated in AB 32 and an 80 percent reduction in GhG emissions below 1990 levels by 2050 as stated in Executive Order S-3-05. Projects that are consistent with the projections of employment and population forecasts identified by the SCAG are considered consistent with the AQMP growth projections, since these forecast numbers were used by SCAG's modeling section to forecast travel demand and air quality for planning activities such as the RTP, the SCAQMD's AQMP, RTIP, and the Regional Housing Plan. This project is consistent with the projections of employment and population forecasts identified by the SCAG that are consistent with the General Plan 2025 "Typical Growth Scenario." Therefore, this Project will have less than significant impacts with respect to GhG emissions directly,					
b. Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an					
agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?					
<b>7b. Response:</b> (Source:) <b>Less Than Significant Impact.</b> The SCAQMD supports State, Federal and international policies to reduce levels of ozone depleting gases through its Global Warming Policy and rules and has established an interim Greenhouse Gas (GHG) threshold. As indicated in Question A, above, the project would comply with the City's General Plan policies and State Building Code provisions designed to reduce GHG emissions. In addition, the project would comply with all SCAQMD applicable rules and regulations during construction and will not interfere with the State's goals of reducing GHG emissions to 1990 levels by the year 2020 as stated in the AB 32 and an 80 percent reduction in GHG emissions below 1990 levels by 2050 as stated in Executive Order S-3-05. Based upon the CalEEMod analysis for this project and the discussion above, the project will not conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation related to the reduction in the emissions of GHG and thus a <b>less than significant</b> impact will occur directly, indirectly and cumulatively in this regard.					
8. HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:					
a. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?					
8a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety Element, GP 2025 FPEIR, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, California Building Code, Riverside Fire Department EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, 2004 Part 1, OEM's Strategic Plan)  No Impact. The proposed project does not involve the transport, use, or disposal of any hazardous material because the project involves the redevelopment of a commercial site and a minor expansion to a public park. The uses within the neighborhood commercial center include a grocery store, pharmacy with a drive-thru and a future retail pad. As such, the project will have no impact related to the transport, use, or disposal of any hazardous material either directly, indirectly and cumulatively.					
b. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment				$\square$	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact		
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	Ппрасс		
through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?						
8b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety Element, GP 2025 FPEIR Tables 5.7 A – D, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, California Building Code, City of Riverside's EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, 2004 Part 1, OEM's Strategic Plan)						
<b>No Impact.</b> The proposed project does not involve the use of any hazardous materials. As such the project will have <b>no impact</b> directly, indirectly or cumulatively for creating a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment.						
c. Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one- quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?						
8c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety and Education Elements, GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.7-D - CalARP RMP Facilities in the Project Area, Figure 5.13-2 – RUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-D RUSD Schools, Figure 5.13-3 AUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-E AUSD Schools, Figure 5.13-4 – Other School District Boundaries, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, California Building Code)						
<b>No Impact.</b> The proposed project does not involve any emission or handling of any hazardous materials, substances or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing school because the proposed project involves the redevelopment of a neighborhood commercial center and expansion of a public park. The neighborhood commercial center will include a grocery store, pharmacy with a drive thru and future retail spaces. Therefore, the project will have <b>no impact</b> regarding emitting hazardous emissions or handling hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school directly, indirectly or cumulatively.						
d. Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?						
8d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-5 – Ho CERCLIS Facility Information, Figure 5.7-B – Regulate EnviroStor Database Listed Sites)						
<b>No Impact.</b> A review of hazardous materials site lists compiled pur the project site is not included on any such lists. Therefore, the prohazard to the public or environment directly, indirectly or cumulative	ject would ha					
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?						
8e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, RCALUCP and March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Comprehensive Land Use Plan (1999), Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005)						
<b>Less Than Significant.</b> The proposed project is located within Airport Influence Area for Riverside Municipal Airport as well as March Air Reserve Base as depicted on Figure 5.7-2 of the General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR for the March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port (MARB/MIP) as noted in March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Comprehensive Land Use Plan (CLUP) and Airport Compatibility Zone(s) C-2 of the Draft MARB/MIP Joint Land Use Study (JLUS). The project was reviewed by the Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) to ensure that the project is consistent with the						

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	Impact	
		Incorporated			
compatibility zone as well as in compliance with the land use stand Because the project has been found to be consistent with the RCA airports are <b>less than significant impacts</b> directly, indirectly and cur	LUCP by the				
f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				$\boxtimes$	
8f. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airp	oort Safety Zo	nes and Influ	ence Areas, R	CALUCP	
<b>No Impact.</b> Because the proposed project is not located within proximity of a private airstrip, and does not propose a private airstrip, the project will not expose people residing or working in the City to excessive noise levels related to a private airstrip and would have <b>no impact</b> directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
g. Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?			$\boxtimes$		
8g. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5.7 – Hazards and Hazardous Materials, City of Riverside's EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, 2004 Part 1, and OEM's Strategic Plan)					
<b>Less Than Significant Impact.</b> The project will be served by existing, fully improved streets (Lincoln Avenue, Mary Street and Victoria Avenue). All streets have been designed to meet the Public Works and Fire Departments' specifications. As part of the Project's construction, no street closure will be necessary, so as not to interfere or impede with any emergency response or evacuation plan. Therefore, the Project will have a <b>less than significant</b> impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively to an emergency response or evacuation plan.					
h. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?					
8h. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-7 – Fire Hazard Areas, GIS Map Layer VHFSZ 2010, City of Riverside's EOP, 2002 <a href="http://intranet/Portal/uploads/Riv City EOP complete.pdf">http://intranet/Portal/uploads/Riv City EOP complete.pdf</a> , Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, 2004 Part 1/Part 2 and OEM's Strategic Plan)  No Impact. The proposed project is located in an urbanized area where no wildlands exist and the property is no located within a Very High Fire Severity Zone (VHFSZ) or adjacent to wildland areas or a VHFSZ; therefore no impact regarding wildland fires either directly, indirectly or cumulatively from this project will occur.					
9. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:					
a. Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?					
9a. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.8-A – Beneficial Uses Receiving Water and Project Specific Hydrology Study and/or Water Quality Management Plan prepared by Ludwig Engineering Associates, Inc. on July 20, 2012)					
Less Than Significant Impact. The project site is currently devel with the exception of landscaped areas. Upon construction of a permeable area of the project site will increase slightly with addition submitted and approved by the Public Works Department for this project the RWQCB, the project is not required to institute new water quality to the City to regional drainage facilities, and then ultimately to	the buildings onal landscape oject. Further uality BMPs, eyed by local	and parking ed area. A pre more, under th as no new run drainage facil	areas for this diminary WQ! the NPDES per- off will be ge- ities developed	project, the MP has been mit managed nerated from d throughout	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With	Impact	Impact	
•		Mitigation Incorporated		1	
contaminants, the project is required to comply with applicable Fede	ral, State, and	local water qu	ality regulation	ns	
During the construction phase, a final approved WQMP will be restate's General Permit for Construction Activities, administered by measures will be required to be implemented to effectively controlled pollutants during construction. Given compliance with a surface water quality and the fact that the project will not result in project as designed is anticipated to result in a less than significate water quality standards or waste discharge.	y the Santa A rol erosion and Il applicable I n a net increas	na RWQCB. d sedimentation local, state, and se of surface when the second secon	Storm water on and other and federal law water runoff,	management construction-vs regulating the proposed	
b. Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?					
9b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 - R Table PF-2 - RPU Projected Water Demand, RPU I Management Plan)					
<b>No Impact.</b> The proposed project is located within the Riverside Sconnect to the City's sewer system and comply with all NPDES at project will not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interference would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the limpact to groundwater supplies and recharge either directly, indirect	nd WQMP rec fere substantia local groundw	quirements tha ally with groun ater table leve	nt will ensure ndwater recha	the proposed rge such that	
c. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?					
9c. Response: (Source: Preliminary grading plan and Water Q Engineering Associates, Inc. on July 20, 2012)	Quality Manag	gement Plan p	repared by Li	ıdwig	
Less Than Significant Impact. The project is subject to NPDES are subject to preparing and implementing a Storm Water Pollution during construction. Erosion, siltation and other possible pollutants are addressed as part of the Water Quality Management Plan (WQM will have a less than significant impact directly, indirectly or cumul	Prevention Place associated was AP) and grading	an (SWPPP) f ith long-term : ng permit proc	for the prevent implementation ess. Therefore	ion of runoff on of projects	
d. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?					
9d. Response: (Source: Preliminary grading plan and Water Quality Management Plan prepared Ludwig Engineering Associates, Inc. on July 20, 2012)					
Less Than Significant Impact. The project will result in activity as ground disturbance, construction of new structures and additional partern of the site, alter the course of stream or river, or increases would result in flooding on- or off-site because the project consists center including the demolition and reconstruction of a grocery storexpansion of a public park and associated parking and landscape	tving); however the rate or am s of the redever e, pharmacy as	er, it would not nount of surface elopment of a nd additional i	t alter the exist ce runoff in a neighborhood retail space, al	ting drainage manner that commercial ong with the	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	•	
<b>less than significant impact</b> directly, indirectly or cumulatively the surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-		_	ease the rate of	or amount of	
e. Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?					
9e. Response: (Source: Preliminary grading plan and Wa Engineering Associates, Inc. on July 20, 2012)	ter Quality I	Management	Plan prepared	d by Ludwig	
Less Than Significant Impact. The project will result in activity a ground disturbance, structures or additional paving) that would creexceed capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage system runoff because the project consists of the redevelopment of a neig and reconstruction of a grocery store, pharmacy and additional retain associated parking and landscape areas on a previously developed sing Therefore, the project will not create or contribute runoff water of drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of pollute directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	eate or contribes or provide shorhood conduction and complete and complete exceeding cap	oute runoff was substantial add nmercial center, with the expa- tely surrounder acity of existi	ter; however, litional source or including the unsion of a pul d by existing of ang or planned	it would not s of polluted e demolition blic park and development. I stormwater	
f. Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?					
9f. Response: (Source: Preliminary grading plan and Water Engineering Associates, Inc. on July 20, 2012)  Less Than Significant Impact. The project is over one are in six General Permit for Construction Activities (SWPPP). As stated management practices (BMPs) will be implemented to reduce/elidevelopment. Furthermore, the City has ensured that the development pursuant to its Municipal Separate Storm System (MS4) permit through	ze and is request in the Pern minate adversoment does n	nired to have on the control of the	coverage unde d after const ity impacts re	er the State's ruction, best sulting from	
The proposed development will increase the amount of impervious spaved parking areas, sidewalks, roadways, and building rooftops; therefore has the potential to degrade water quality. This development have been reviewed and approved by Public Works. Final BM The purpose of this requirement is to insure treatment BMP's are pollutants generated by the project will be treated in perpetuity. The less than significant directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	all sources of ment has been IP's will be re- installed/cons	of runoff that required to pequired prior t tructed as par	may carry porepare preliming of grading period of the project	ollutants and inary BMP's mit issuance. et so that the	
g. Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?					
9g. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flood Hazard Areas, and FEMA Flood Hazard Maps 06065C0720G, Panel X)					
<b>No Impact.</b> A review of National Flood Insurance Rate Map (Map Number 06065C0720G Effective Date August 28, 2008) and Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas of the General Plan Program FPEIR, shows that the project is not located within or near a 100-year flood hazard area and the project does not involve the construction of housing. There will be <b>no impact</b> caused by this project directly, indirectly or cumulatively as it will not place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area.					
h. Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				$\boxtimes$	
9h. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flow 06065C0720G, Panel X)	od Hazard Ar	eas, and FEM	A Flood Haza	ard Maps	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	Impact	
<b>No Impact.</b> The project site is not located within or near a 100-year Program FPEIR Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas and the 06065C0720G Effective Date August 28, 2008). Therefore, the prohazard area that would impede or redirect flood flows and <b>no impac</b>	National Floo oject will not	d area as depi d Insurance place a structu	Rate Map (Norre within a 10	Map Number 00-year flood	
<ul> <li>i. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?</li> </ul>					
9i. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flow 06065C0720G, Panel X)	od Hazard Ar	eas, and FEM	IA Flood Haze	ırd Maps	
<b>No Impact.</b> The project site is not located within or near a flood by FPEIR Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas and the National Floor Effective Date August 28, 2008) or subject to dam inundation as do 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas. Therefore, the project will not place a that would expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, in a result of the failure of a levee or dam and therefore <b>no impact</b> directions.	od Insurance lepicted on Ge structure with ajury or death	Rate Map (Ma eneral Plan 20 in a flood haza involving floo	ap Number 00 25 Program F ard or dam inu ding, includin	5065C0720G PEIR Figure Indation area g flooding as	
<ul><li>j. Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?</li><li>9j. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5.8 – Hydronia)</li></ul>					
No Impact. Tsunamis are large waves that occur in coastal areas; therefore, since the City is not located in a coastal area, no impacts due to tsunamis will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively. Additionally, the proposed project site and its surroundings have generally flat topography and is within an urbanized area not within proximity to Lake Mathews, Lake Evans, the Santa Ana River, Lake Hills, Norco Hills, Box Springs Mountain Area or any of the 9 arroyos which transverse the City and its sphere of influence. Therefore, no impact potential for seich or mudflow exists either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.  10. LAND USE AND PLANNING:					
Would the project:					
a. Physically divide an established community?					
10a.Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Land Use and Urban Design Element, Project site plan, City of Riverside GIS/CADME map layers)  Less Than Significant Impact. The proposed project has been designed to be consistent with the fit into the pattern of development of the surrounding area providing adequate access, circulation and connectivity consistent with the General Plan 2025, and in compliance with the requirements of the Zoning and Subdivision Codes. Therefore, the project impacts related to the community are less than significant.					
b. Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?					
10b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 Figure LU-10 – Land Use Policy Map, Table LU-5 – Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 – Redevelopment Areas, Title 19 – Zoning Code, Title 18 – Subdivision Code, Title 7 – Noise Code, Title 17 – Grading Code, Title 20 – Cultural Resources Code, Title 16 – Buildings and Construction and Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines)					
<b>Less Than Significant Impact.</b> The project includes a proposal portion of the project site from P - Public Park to C - Commercial at 13000 – Single Family Residential Zone to CR-NC - Commercial R the redevelopment of the commercial center. The project is consistent	nd to amend tl etail - Neighb	ne zone of the orhood Center	same portion of Overlay Zon	from the R1- e to facilitate	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact		
4F, and the RCALUCP for the reasons stated in response 8e. A pexpansion will require a General Plan Amendment and rezone as vol 190 (GPA) and P13-0191 (RZ). As a General Plan Amendment is the project was analyzed for consistency with the policies and object Policy LU- 9.4 seeks to promote in-fill development to reduce infra was analyzed for consistency with the Zoning Code, which contains ensure that the proposed commercial center use would not cresurrounding existing and future uses since the site has been deapplication of these standards would ensure that the project would project would require variances related to the off sale of alcohol in on the fact that the site is currently developed with commercial unhistory of major problems related to off-sale alcohol. Based on the not result in significant adverse environmental impacts. Thus, less to conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?	well and will leconsidered partitives of the Gestructure consiste location deate significant evelopment will not have a deconjunction wises that provide above-referentian significant	property to be processed unit of this projection costs. Criteria and site at land use critical the same etrimental impath this project de off-sale alcord information impacts will	ander Planning of to facilitate and it is consist Furthermore, the development ompatibility process of the can be justified in the proposed result from the conditional forms of the proposed result from the can be justified in the proposed result from the can be justified in the proposed result from the can be justified in the proposed result from the can be justified in the proposed result from the can be justified in the proposed result from the can be justified in the proposed result from the can be justified in the can be jus	g Cases P13- the proposal, ent. In fact, the proposal a standards to problems for aerous years. In tuses. The astified based les without a ed use would ais project.	
<ul> <li>10c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 – Figure LU-10 – Land Use Policy Map, Table LU-5 – Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 – Redevelopment Areas, enter appropriate Specific Plan if one, Title 19 – Zoning Code, Title 18 – Subdivision Code, Title 7 – Noise Code, Title 17 – Grading Code, Title 20 – Cultural Resources Code, Title 16 – Buildings and Construction and Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines</li> <li>Less Than Significant Impact. See Response 4f above.</li> </ul>					
11. MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:					
a. Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?					
11a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure – OS-1 – M	Aineral Resou	arces)			
<b>No Impact.</b> Under this proposal, no changes will be made to land use designations or zoning designations that would result in increased density, increased population potential, major infrastructure upgrades, or other development that would have a significant impact on the mineral resources. The changes proposed are largely procedural and reflect policies already adopted and approved by the General Plan 2025 Program which has undergone environmental review and for which an EIR was certified. The proposed changes made are aimed at easing use and clarity. Therefore, the project will have <b>no impact</b> on mineral resources directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
b. Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?					
11b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure – OS-1 – M	Mineral Resou	urces)			
<b>No Impact.</b> The GP 2025 FPEIR determined that there are no splocally-important mineral resource recovery sites and that the insignificantly preclude the ability to extract state-designated resource Plan 2025. Therefore, there is <b>no impact</b> .	mplementation	of the Gene	eral Plan 202	5 would not	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	Impact	
12. NOISE. Would the project result in:					
a. Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?					
12a. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 – 2003 Roadway Noise, Figure N-3 – 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 – 2025 Roadway Noise, Figure N-7 – 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-9 – March ARB Noise Contours, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use Noise Compatibility Criteria, FPEIR Table 5.11-I – Existing and Future Noise Contour Comparison, Table 5.11-E – Interior and Exterior Noise Standards, Appendix G – Noise Existing Conditions Report, Title 7 – Noise Code, and Project Specific Noise Study/Acoustical Analysis prepared by RK Engineering Group, Inc. on February 13, 2013)					
Less Than Significant Impact. Per Implementation Tool N-1 of the General Plan 2025 Noise Element, this project has been reviewed to ensure that noise standards and compatibility issues have been addressed. Because the project site is adjacent to and surrounded by existing single-family residential development potentially resulting in noise impacts to existing residents, an acoustical analysis was prepared for the project by RK Engineering Group, Inc., dated February 13, 2013. The acoustical analysis concluded that the proposed drive-thru component of the CVS pharmacy would not exceed allowable exterior day time noise limits set forth in Title 7 of the Municipal Code because there will be no amplified communications occurring during operations. The acoustical analysis found the project to be in compliance with the City's noise standards and found impacts related to noise levels as a result of the project to be less than significant directly, indirectly and cumulatively.					
b. Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?					
12b. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 – 2003 Roa N-5 – 2025 Roadway Noise, Figure N-7 – 2025 Railroa FPEIR Table 5.11-G – Vibration Source Levels For Co Conditions Report and Project Specific Noise Study/Acou Inc. on February 13, 2013)	d Noise, Figi nstruction Eq	ure N-9 – Ma uipment, App	erch ARB No. Dendix G – N	ise Contours, oise Existing	
Less Than Significant Impact. Construction related activities although short term, are the most common source of groundborne noise and vibration that could affect occupants of neighboring uses. While intermittent, train vibration is also a significant source of groundborne noise and vibration. Since this project will involve short term construction activities and the proposed CVS pharmacy includes a drive-thru pick-up/drop-off window that will be situated near existing residential development an acoustical analysis was prepared by RK Engineering Group, Inc on February 13, 2013. The acoustical analysis has assessed the potential for noise and ground-borne vibration impacts related to noise land use compatibility, construction-related noise per GP 2025 FPEIR, Table 5.11-G, Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment, on-site stationary noise sources, and vehicular-related noise. The acoustical analysis found the project to be in compliance with the City's noise standards and found impacts related to groundborne vibration and groundborne noise levels as a result of the project to be less than significant directly, indirectly and cumulatively.					
c. A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				$\boxtimes$	
12c. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 – 2003 Roadway Noise, Figure N-3 – 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 – 2025 Roadway Noise, Figure N-7 – 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-9 – March ARB Noise Contours, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use Noise Compatibility Criteria, FPEIR Table 5.11-I – Existing and Future Noise Contour Comparison, Table 5.11-E – Interior and Exterior Noise Standards, Appendix G – Noise Existing Conditions Report, Title 7 – Noise Code, and Project Specific Noise Study/Acoustical Analysis prepared by RK Engineering Group, Inc. on February 13, 2013)					
<b>No Impact.</b> The proposed project does not involve uses or activities ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing vicinity.					

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
this project will have <b>no impact</b> on existing noise levels either direct	ly indirectly	Incorporated or cumulativel	V	
d. A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				
12d. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.11-J – Construction In Conditions Report and Project Specific Noise Study/Acou Inc. on February 13, 2013)				
<b>Less Than Significant Impact.</b> The primary source of temporary of from construction activity and maintenance work. Construction not events associated with building demolition, grading, construction, land	ise typically in	nvolves the lo	udest commor	urban noise
Both the General Plan 2025 and Municipal Code Title 7 (Noise Codays of the week and during those specified times, construction at Title 7. Considering the short-term nature of construction and the periodic increase in noise levels due to the construction which resignificant directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	tivity is subje he provisions	ct to the noise of the Noise	e standards pro Code, the te	ovided in the mporary and
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				
12e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure N-9 – Me Use Noise Compatibility Criteria, RCALUCP, March Air F Use Plan (1999),Air Installation Compatible Use Zone St Project Specific Noise Study/Acoustical Analysis prepare 2013)	Reserve Base/A tudy for Marc	March inland ch Air Reserv	Port Comprese Base (Augus	hensive Land ast 2005) and
Less Than Significant Impact. Although the proposed project is project is not located within any of the airport noise contour are: Element of the General Plan 2025. For this reason, the project would area to excessive noise levels related to airport noise. Therefore, in and cumulatively on people residing or working in the project area to	as as depicted ld not expose apacts will be	l on Figures l people residin less than sign	N-8 and N-9 g or working	of the Noise in the project
f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				$\boxtimes$
12f. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – A March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Compreh Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (A	ensive Land			
<b>No Impact.</b> Per the GP 2025 Program FPEIR, there are no privative working or residing in the City to excessive noise levels. Because the under the General Plan 2025, is not located within proximity of a private project will not expose people residing or working in the City to would have <b>no impact</b> directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	e proposed prorivate airstrip	oject consists, and does not	of development propose a pri	nt anticipated vate airstrip,

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	
<b>13. POPULATION AND HOUSING.</b> Would the project:				
a. Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?				
13a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table LU-3 – La Population and Households Forecast, Table 5.12-B – Gen 2025, Table 5.12-C – 2025 General Plan and SCAG C Projections 2025, Capital Improvement Program and SCAG	neral Plan Po Comparisons,	opulation and Table 5.12-D	Employment	Projections-
<b>No Impact.</b> The project is in an urbanized area and does not proposubstantial population growth, and does not involve the addition of rubstantial population growth because the project consists of the red expansion of a public park. Therefore, this project will have <b>no imp</b>	new roads or in evelopment of act on popula	nfrastructure th f a neighborho	hat would indi od shopping c	rectly induce center and the
b. Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				
13b. Response: (Source: CADME Land Use 2003 Layer)				
<b>No Impact.</b> The project will not displace existing housing, no elsewhere because the project is proposed on a previously developed or affected by the proposed project. Therefore, there will be <b>no impact.</b>	d site that has	no existing ho	ousing that will	l be removed
c. Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				
13c. Response: (Source: CADME Land Use 2003 Layer)				
<b>No Impact.</b> The project will not displace any people, necessitating because the project site is proposed on a previously developed site removed or affected by the proposed project. Therefore, this project for replacement housing either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	that has no e	existing housing	ng or residents	s that will be
14. PUBLIC SERVICES.				
Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:				
a. Fire protection?				$\boxtimes$
<ul> <li>14a. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.13-B – Fire Station Statistics and Ordinance 5948 § 1)</li> <li>No Impact. The proposed project is located within an urbanized currently developed with a commercial shopping center adjacent to</li> </ul>	d area of the	City on an a	pproximately	6.3 acre site

serve the project are provided approximately 1.5 miles from the project site by Station 10, located at 2590 Jefferson Street. In addition, with implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through Fire Department practices, there will be **no impact** on the demand for additional fire facilities or services either directly,

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact			
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With	Impact	Impact			
		Mitigation Incorporated					
indirectly or cumulatively.		-					
b. Police protection?				$\boxtimes$			
14b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-8 – Ne	14b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-8 – Neighborhood Policing Centers)						
<b>No Impact.</b> The project consists of the redevelopment of a neighborhood commercial center and the expansion of the adjacent public park. Adequate police facilities and services are provided by the Central Neighborhood Policing Center to serve this project. In addition, with implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through Police Department practices, there will be <b>no impact</b> on the demand for additional police facilities of services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.							
c. Schools?				$\boxtimes$			
14c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.13-2 – RUSD Boun Generation for RUSD By Education Level)  No Impact. The project is non-residential use that will not involve numbers of school age children. Therefore, there will be no imp	e the addition	of any housing	g units that wo	ould increase			
services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively	act on the de	inana for add	itional school	racinties of			
d. Parks				$\boxtimes$			
14d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PR-1 – Parks, Open Spaces and Trails, Table PR-4 – Park and Recreation Facilities, Parks Master Plan 2003, GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.14-A – Park and Recreation Facility Types, and Table 5.14-C – Park and Recreation Facilities Funded in the Riverside Renaissance Initiative)  No Impact. The project is a non-residential use that will not involve the addition of any housing units that would increase the population. In addition, the project is shall pay local Park Impact Fees for additional Square Footage of Non-Residential building and Regional / Trail Park Fees for the expansion of commercial developed property. Also, the project includes 0.38 acres of park expansion to meet the neighborhood's park needs. The addition of the proposed project will help alleviate the City's shortage of parkland. Therefore, there will be no impact on the demand for additional park facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.  e. Other public facilities?							
Facilities, Figure 5.13-6 - Community Centers, Table 5.3-F - Riverside Community Centers, Table 5.13-H - Riverside Public Library Service Standards)  No Impact. The project consists of the redevelopment of a neighborhood shopping center and the expansion of the adjacent public park. Adequate public facilities and services, including libraries and community centers, are provided in the Victoria Neighborhood to serve this project. In addition, with implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through Park and Recreation and Community Services and Library practices, there will be no impacts on the demand for additional public facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.							
15. RECREATION.							
a. Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?							
15a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PR-1 – Parks, Open Spaces and Trails, Table PR-4 – Park and Recreation Facilities, Figure CCM-6 – Master plan of Trails and Bikeways, Parks Master Plan 2003, FPEIR Table 5.14-A – Park and Recreation Facility Types, and Table 5.14-C – Park and Recreation Facilities Funded in the Riverside Renaissance Initiative, Table 5.14-D – Inventory of Existing Community Centers, Riverside Municipal Code Chapter 16.60 - Local Park Development Fees, Bicycle Master Plan May 2007)							

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With	Impact	Impuci	
,		Mitigation Incorporated			
<b>No Impact.</b> The General Plan 2025 analyzed the proposed C – Conproject is consistent with the adopted General Plan 2025 and will facilities, including an expanded turf area, a park entry sign, drin picnic areas. In addition the project consists of improving the reparking, walking paths, streetscape renovation along Mary Street arimprovements, as part of the project approvals at the discretion of Department and will pay applicable Park Development Impact I Community Services Department as required for the expansion of the have a <b>no impact</b> directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	provide approking fountain, maining 3.04 d Victoria Avithe City Parlies to the C	ral Plan Land eximately 0.83 butterfly gar acres of park- renue, perimet cs, Recreation ity of Riversi	acres of new den, trail con- dand, including er wall and land and Communde Parks, Re-	recreational nections and ag additional ndscape area nity Services creation and	
b. Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?					
15b. Response: (Source: )					
<b>No Impact.</b> The project consists of exchanging approximately 0.83 developed as Commercial for 1.23 acres of new developed parklan new recreational facilities will result from the project, which will expanded turf area, a park entry sign, drinking fountain, butterfly gar project consists of improving the remaining 3.04 acres of parkland, i renovation to improve visibility into the park, perimeter wall and lan impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	d on a vacant include addition trail connections.	infill lot. Appoint recreation and parking,	pproximately ( nal opportuniti icnic areas. In walking paths	0.38 acres of les including addition the s, streetscape	
16. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC.					
Would the project result in:					
a. Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?					
16a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio and Level of Service Future Trip Generation Estimates, Table 5.15-H – Exist of Service, Table 5.15-I – Conceptual General Plan Inter – Current Status of Roadways Projected to Operate at L Proposed General Plan, Appendix H – Circulation E SCAG's RTP)	(LOS) (Typic ting and Typic esection Impro OS E or F in	eal 2025), Tai cal Density So evement Recor 2025, Table 5	ble 5.15-D – cenario Inters nmendations, .15K – Free	Existing and ection Levels Table 5.15-J way Analysis	
<b>No Impact.</b> The project site is located on a previously developed resulting in any measurable increase in traffic is expected and there the capacity of the existing circulation system will occur.	-			-	
b. Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?					
16b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio and Level of Service	(LOS) (Typic	al 2025), Tal	ble 5.15-D -	Existing and	
Future Trip Generation Estimates, Table 5.15-H – Existing and Typical Density Scenario Intersection Levels of Service, Table 5.15-I – Conceptual General Plan Intersection Improvement Recommendations, Table 5.15-J					

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact		
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	-		
<ul> <li>Current Status of Roadways Projected to Operate at Le Proposed General Plan, Appendix H – Circulation E SCAG's RTP)</li> </ul>						
<b>No Impact.</b> The project site does not include a state highway and th Management/Air Quality components of the Program. With compertaining to Lincoln Avenue, Mary Street, and Victoria Avenue, the Therefore, there is <b>no impact</b> either directly, indirectly or cumulative	pliance any ine project can	Public Works be considered	recommende	d conditions		
c. Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?			$\boxtimes$			
16c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – An March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Compreh Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (A	ensive Land					
Less Than Significant Impact. The proposed project is located we Base as depicted on Figure 5.7-2 of the General Plan 2025 Program Port (MARB/MIP) as noted in March Air Reserve Base/March Inl Airport Compatibility Zone(s) C-2 of the Draft MARB/MIP Joint Lest the Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) to ensure that the project compliance with the land use standards in the RCALUP, MARB Compacts directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	FPEIR for the and Port Concand Use Student is consistent CLUP and Draw FPEIR F	e March Air Faprehensive Lay (JLUS). The with the compaft JLUS. Be	Reserve Base/Mand Use Plan are project was patibility zone cause the proj	March Inland (CLUP) and reviewed by as well as in ect has been		
d. Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				$\boxtimes$		
16d. Response: (Source: Project Site Plans, Lane Striping and	l Signing Plan	is)				
<b>No Impact.</b> The project is located on a site that is currently developark, with all site improvements in place, and where no site modification design features such as driveways, intersection improvements, etc uses on the site. As such, the project will have <b>no impact</b> on increase directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	cations will of In addition, the	occur that wou he proposed us	ld result in hase is compatib	zards due to le with other		
e. Result in inadequate emergency access?						
16e. Response: (Source: California Department of Transport Fire Code)	ation Highwa	y Design Mai	nual, Municip	oal Code, and		
<b>No Impact.</b> The project has been developed in compliance with T Section 503 (California Fire Code 2007); therefore, there will be emergency access.						
f. Conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities)?						
16f. Response: (Source: FPEIR, General Plan 2025 Land Use and Urban Design, Circulation and Community Mobility and Education Elements, Bicycle Master Plan, School Safety Program – Walk Safe! – Drive Safe!)						
alternative transportation (e.g. bus turnouts, bicycle racks). As such	<b>No Impact.</b> The project, as designed, does not create conflicts with adopted policies, plans or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g. bus turnouts, bicycle racks). As such, the project will have <b>no impact</b> directly, indirectly or cumulatively on adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation.					

27

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<b>17. UTILITIES AND SYSTEM SERVICES.</b> Would the project:				
a. Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				$\boxtimes$
17a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PF-2 – Service Areas, Table 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewate Area, Figure 5.8-1 – Watersheds, Wastewater Integrated M	r Generation	for the City of	f Riverside's S	
<b>No Impact.</b> This proposal involves the demolition of an existing grand the construction of an approximately 44,636 square foot groce pharmacy and approximately 8,760 square feet of future retail spatievelopment will be subject to the requirements of the Public Work existing State Water Quality Control Board and City stormwa requirements. The proposed Project is to be located within a development with the exception of two additional driveways proposed for the Projectly, or cumulatively.	ery store, a 11 ace on an app ace Department ter regulation oped site with	,465 square for roximately 6.3 and will be rus, including site improvem	oot CVS with 3-acre site. T equired to con compliance v tents already in	a drive-thru The proposed Inply with all Inply with NPDES In place, with
b. Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
17b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 – RP Table PF-2 – RPU Projected Water Demand, RPU, FP Demand for RPU Including Water Reliability for 2025, Tal for the City of Riverside's Sewer Service Area, Figure 5 Infrastructure and Wastewater Integrated Master Plan and	EIR Table 5. ble 5.16-K - E. 1.16-4 – Wate	.16-G – Gene stimated Futu r Facilities a	eral Plan Pro re Wastewater	jected Water r Generation
<b>No Impact.</b> The project will not result in the construction of new The project is consistent with the Typical Growth Scenario of the generation was determined to be adequate (see Tables 5.16-E, 5.16 General Plan 2025 Final PEIR). Therefore, the project will have not wastewater treatment facilities or the expansion of existing facilities	General Plan 2 6-F, 5.16-G, 5 o impact resul	2025 where fu 5.16-H, 5.16-I ting in the co	ture water and 5, 5.16-J and 5 nstruction of r	d wastewater .16-K of the
c. Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
17c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-2 - Drainage Fac.	ilities)			
Less Than Significant Impact. The proposed project will result in reducing the runoff that exists due to greater impervious area that ex and would not necessitate construction of new storm water drainage for Subdivision Code (Title 18, Section 18.48.020) requires drainage for transferred into a drainage facilities fund that is maintained by Riv District. This Section also complies with the California Government of fees for construction of drainage facilities. Fees are required to be	ists with the e facilities or exes to be paid the rerside County Code (section	xisting shoppi pansion of exi to the City for Flood Contra 66483), which	ng center and sting facilities new construc ol and Water ch provides for	adjacent park . Further, the tion. Fees are Conservation the payment

General Plan 2025 Policies PF 4.1 and PF 4.3 require the City to continue to routinely monitor its storm drain system and to fund and improve those systems as identified in the City's Capital Improvement Plan. Implementation of these policies will ensure that the City is adequately served by drainage systems. The General Plan 2025 also includes policies and programs that will minimize the environmental effects of the development of such facilities. Therefore, the Project will have **less than significant** impact on existing storm water drainage facilities and would not require the expansion of existing facilities directly, indirectly or cumulatively.

filing of a final map or parcel map.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
d. Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?					
17d. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-3 – Water Servic E – RPU Projected Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR, T – General Plan Projected Water Demand for RPU including	able 5.16 <b>-F</b> –	Projected Wo	ter Demand,	<i>Table 5.16-G</i>	
<b>No Impact.</b> The project will not exceed expected water supplies. Typical Growth Scenario where future water supplies were determi 5.16-H, 5.16-I and 5.16-J of the General Plan 2025 Final PEIR). The insufficient water supplies either directly, indirectly or cumulative	ned to be ade Therefore, the	quate (see Tab	les t.16-E, 5.1	6-F, 5.16-G,	
e. Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				$\boxtimes$	
17e. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-5 - Sewer Service 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for Wastewater Integrated Master Plan and Certified EIR)					
<b>No Impact.</b> The project will not exceed wastewater treatment requirement report is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typical Grown determined to be adequate (see Table 5.16-K of the General Plan Treatment Master Plan anticipates and provides for this type of pudirectly, indirectly or cumulatively will occur.	vth Scenario 2025 Final l	where future v PEIR). Furthe	wastewater ge er, the current	neration was Wastewater	
f. Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				$\boxtimes$	
17f. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.16-A – Existing Land) Generation from the Planning Area)	fills and Table	e 5.16-M – Est	imated Futur	e Solid Waste	
<b>No Impact.</b> The project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 capacity was determined to be adequate (see Tables 5.16-A and 5.16 <b>no impact</b> to landfill capacity will occur directly, indirectly or cumu	6-M of the Ge				
g. Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?					
17g. Response: (Source: California Integrated Waste Management Board 2002 Landfill Facility Compliance Study)					
<b>No Impact.</b> The California Integrated Waste Management Act jurisdictions divert at least 50% of all solid waste generated by Jar diversion rate, well above State requirements. In addition, the Cali to divert 50% of non-hazardous construction and demolition debris clearing debris for all non-residential projects beginning January 1 City's waste disposal requirements as well as the California Green I Federal, State, or local regulations related to solid waste. Therefore directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	fornia Green for all project, 2011. The Building Code	The City is Building Code ets and 100% proposed pro and as such v	currently achi requires all dof excavated s ject must com- yould not conf	eving a 60% evelopments soil and land ply with the lict with any	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	puev
18. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.				
a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or an endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				
18a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – I Cell Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSH and Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Enden Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHC - Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine A Districts and Neighborhood Conservation Areas, Figure Prehistoric Cultural Resources Sensitivity, Appendix D, Tit	MSHCP Core ICP Area Pla nic Plant Spec P Burrowing Areas and Ver e 5.5-1 - Arc	es and Linkag ns, Figure 5.4 ries Survey Ar Owl Survey A nal Pools, FP chaeological	es, Figure OS 1-4 - MSHCP ea, Figure 5.4 rea, MSHCP EIR Table 5.5 Sensitivity, Fi	-8 – MSHCP Criteria Cells l-7 – MSHCP Section 6.1.2 l-A Historical
Less Than Significant Impact. Potential impacts related to hab Biological Resources Section of this Initial Study. Additionally paleontological resources related to major periods of California a discussed in the Cultural Resources Section of this Initial Study. It conclusion that the proposed project will not result in the degradation impacts will result from this project.	y, potential ind the City on formation co	mpacts to cu f Riverside's ontained in this	ltural, archae history or pre s Initial Study	ological and history were supports the
b. Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?				
18b. Response: (Source: FPEIR Section 6 – Long-Term Eff Program)	ects/ Cumula	tive Impacts j	for the Gener	al Plan 2025
<b>Less Than Significant Impact.</b> Because the project is consistent ware anticipated and therefore cumulative impacts of the proposed propos				
c. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?			$\boxtimes$	
18c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Section 5 – Environmental Im	pact Analysis	for the Gener	ral Plan 2025	Program)
Less Than Significant Impact. Effects on human beings were eval water quality, noise, population and housing, hazards and hazardous found to be less than significant or less than significant with miti analysis and conclusions in this initial study, the Project will not ca human beings. Therefore, potential direct and indirect impacts on hess than significant with incorporation of mitigation measures.	s materials, an gation for eac use substantia	d traffic sections of the above ladverse effective adverse effective traffic adverse effective traffic adverse effective traffic sections and traffic sections are also adverse effective traffic sections and traffic sections are also adverse effective traffic sections and traffic sections are also adverse effective traffic sections are also adverse effective traffic sections and traffic sections are also adverse effective traffic sections and traffic sections are also adverse effective traffic sections and traffic sections are also adverse effective traffic sections and traffic sections are also adverse effective traffic sections and traffic sections are also adverse effective traffic sections and traffic sections are also adverse effective traffic sections and traffic sections are also adverse effective traffic sections are also adverse effective traffic sections and traffic sections are also adverse effective traffic sections and traffic sections are also adverse effective traffic sections are also adverse effective traffic sections and traffic sections are also adverse effective traffic sections and traffic sections are also adverse effective traffic sections and traffic sections are also adverse effective traffic sections and traffic sections are also adverse effective traffic sections and traffic sections are also adverse effective traffic sections and traffic sections are also adverse effective traffic sections and adverse effective traffic sections are also adverse effective traffic sections and adverse effective traffic sections are also adverse effective traffic sections	ons of this init we sections. I ets, directly or	ial study and Based on the indirectly to

Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21087, Public Resources Code. Reference: Sections 21080(c), 21080.1, 21080.3, 21082.1, 21083, 21083.3, 21093, 21094, 21151, Public Resources Code; Sundstrom v. County of Mendocino, 202 Cal.App.3d 296 (1988); Leonoff v. Monterey Board of Supervisors, 222 Cal.App.3d 1337 (1990).

## Staff Recommended Mitigation Measures

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party <sup>1</sup>	Monitoring/Reporting Method
Category	MM Air 1: To reduce diesel emissions associated with construction, construction contractors shall provide temporary electricity to the site to eliminate the need for diesel-powered electric generators, or provide evidence that electrical hook ups at construction sites are not cost effective or feasible.  MM Air 2: To reduce construction related particulate matter air quality impacts of City projects.	Prior to issuance of grading and/or building permits.  Prior to issuance of individual grading and/or building	Building & Safety Division Public Works Department  Public Works Department	Proof of power source to be provided from electric service provider.  Construction Inspection.
	particulate matter air quality impacts of City projects the following measures shall be required:  1. the generation of dust shall be controlled as required by the AQMD;  2. grading activities shall cease during periods of high winds (greater than 25 mph);  3. trucks hauling soil, dirt or other emissive materials shall have their loads covered with a tarp or other protective cover as determined by the City Engineer; and	grading and/or building permit.  The plan for traffic control shall be submitted with the grading and/or building plans.		
	4. the contractor shall prepare and maintain a traffic control plan, prepared, stamped and signed by either a licensed Traffic Engineer or a Civil Engineer. The preparation of the plan shall be in accordance with Chapter 5 of the latest edition of the Caltrans Traffic Manual and the State Standard Specifications. The plan shall be submitted for approval, by the engineer, at the preconstruction meeting. Work shall not commence without an approved traffic control plan.			

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All agencies are City of Riverside Departments/Divisions unless otherwise noted.